

Remarks/Arguments

35 U.S.C. 102(e), 35 USC 103(a)

Claims 1, 2 and 10 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by "Movshovich #5608,424 (made of record in a previous communication)".

The Applicants have obtained a copy of U.S. Patent 5,608,424. This patent was granted to Takahashi et al., and relates to a moving picture display apparatus. This patent has not been made of record in a previous communication of this application.

There is a patent to Movshovich that was made of record in a previous communication, U.S. Patent 6,072,462. However, the patent number of the previously cited patent to Movshovich has no similarity to the patent number of the Movshovich patent cited by the Examiner in the Final Rejection.

The Applicants have found a patent to Movshovich which has a number similar to that cited by the Examiner in the Final Rejection, U.S. Patent 5,608,425, issued 4 March 1997. This patent appears to be similar, in certain respects, to U.S. Patent 6,072,462, which was previously cited.

The Examiner has rejected Claim 3 as "unpatentable over Movshovich et al". The Applicants are unable to identify *any* patent to Movshovich et al.


The Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to clearly identify the reference or references upon which he meant to rely in the Final Rejection.

The Applicants furthermore respectfully request the Examiner to reset or restart the period for response to the Final Rejection, in accordance with MPEP 707.05(g) and 710.06, so as to give the Applicants the opportunity to consider the reference or references upon which the Examiner meant to rely.

Ser. No. 09/555,188
Internal Docket No. RCA 88,863
Customer No. 24498

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas Anthony Stahl et al.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D.E. Sragow", written over a horizontal line.

By: Daniel E. Sragow
Registration No. 22,856
(609) 734-6832

THOMSON Licensing Inc.
PO Box 5312
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312

Date: 10 April 2006